

**Report of the  
Task Force to Evaluate  
the Feasibility of a  
New Police Headquarters**



**SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE**

**HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK**

**MAY 2021**

**Chair:  
Legislator Steven J. Flotteron**

# Table of Contents

- I. Task Force Members/Non-Members..... 1
- II. History of Police Department..... 2
- III. History of Police Headquarters..... 3
- IV. Task Force..... 4-5
- V. Conclusion..... 6
- VI. Budget Comparison..... 7-8
- VII. Resolutions ..... 9-14

**Task Force Members:**

Legislator Steve Flotteron, Designee of the Minority Caucus Leader

Legislator Kara Hahn, Majority Caucus Leader

Commissioner Geraldine Hart, Commissioner of the Police Department

Chief Stuart Cameron, Chief of the Police Department

Lance Reinheimer, Director of the Office of Budget Review

Diane Weyer, Designee of the Budget Office

Frank Mathews, Designee of the Commissioner of the Department of Information Technology

Darnell Tyson, Designee of the Department of Public Works

Wayne Thompson, Designee of the Division of Real Estate

Paul Clinton, Designee of the Space Management Committee

**Non-Task Force Members:** attended one or more meetings but not officially on Task Force

Joseph Brown, Commissioner of the Department of Public Works

Eric Hofmeister, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Public Works

Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning

Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Economic Development

Nicole Amendola, Director of Intergovernmental Relations

James Ingenito, Architect, Department of Public Works

Scott Difiore, Assistant Architect, Department of Public Works

Rob Hardie, Assistant Architect, Department of Public Works

Robert Doering, Senior Legislative Analyst, Budget Review

Patricia Saunders, Principal Research Analyst

James Skopek, SCPD, 1<sup>st</sup> Deputy Police Commissioner

Gerald McCarthy, SCPD, Chief

Robert Waring, SCPD, Deputy Chief

Anthony Calandrillo, SCPD, Lieutenant

Robert P. Scharf, SCPD, Lieutenant

James Roddin, Detective Sargent, Superior Officers Association

John Kellman, SCPD Detective, ID Section

George Michels, Detectives Association

Louis Tutone, PBA, 1<sup>st</sup> Vice President

Louis Civello, PBA, 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President

John Hnat, PBA, Highway Patrol Bureau

## **History of Police Department:**

The Suffolk County Police Department was established in 1958 after a referendum by the voters approving the Suffolk County Charter. On January 1, 1960, pursuant to the referendum, individual police departments of the townships of Huntington, Babylon, Smithtown, Islip and Brookhaven merged into one county-wide Department. At that time, the department responded to less than 75,000 calls for service with 619 officers. Whereas, in 2020, the Department responded to nearly 482,000 calls for service with more than 2,300 officers.

Today, the Department is the 11th largest in the nation, with a jurisdiction covering the five western Townships of Suffolk County. The Department also provides specialized services to the five east end Townships and Villages that are outside the Suffolk County Police District which offer specialized services such as; Homicide, Arson, Hate Crimes, Police Academy Bureau and Aviation.

Commissioner Geraldine Hart, a 2018 civilian appointee of County Executive Steve Bellone, was the first female commissioner to lead the Department in Suffolk County history. Commissioner Hart previously served as Head of the Long Island Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation when she was selected by the County Executive to take the helm at the Department. As of the finality of this report Commissioner Hart has left the Department.

The Commissioner is supported by two deputy commissioners: Deputy Commissioner James Skopek and Deputy Commissioner Risco Mention-Lewis. The Commissioner's Office is also supported by Chief of Department Stuart Cameron, who oversees the day to day operations of the Department as the most senior uniformed officer in the Department and is also currently serving as the Acting Police Commissioner.

The Department is one of the nearly 30 percent of law enforcement agencies in New York State that has achieved accreditation within the New York State Law Enforcement Accreditation Program (NYSLEAP). Continued accreditation requires that the Department undergo a compliance evaluation every five years and was recently awarded accreditation status in 2020. Accreditation acknowledges the implementation of policies that are conceptually sound and operationally effective.

## **History of Police Headquarters:**

Police Headquarters in Yaphank is a 50-plus-year-old 133,300 sq. ft. building which was originally built for the Department of Social Services. The facility accommodates numerous Police commands including; The Department's Command Staff, Internal Affairs Bureau, Highway Patrol Bureau, Identification Section, Medical Evaluation Section, Communications & Central Records Bureau, Electronics Investigations Unit, Computer Crimes Unit as well as numerous other commands with office space for personnel, meeting rooms, a media room, and the Department's Real Time Crime Center.

The Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB), is comprised of 26 staff members. Currently it only has 3 executive offices and 11 cubicles, which is not sufficient office space for the staff. There are significant spacing issues due to the volume of paper files stored in file cabinets, which are causing the ceiling below to sag due to the excessive weight of the files.

The Identification Section processes fingerprints and photographs. The dark rooms are antiquated with ventilation that is unable to properly regulate temperature. Proper evidence storage requires a single point of receipt and exit which presently is not available in the current layout. Currently, evidence is stored in multiple areas, when it should be contained in one area with lockable drawers and cabinets. Also, one room is designated as a union contractually obligated interview room, which is not ideal in this location.

The Medical Evaluation Section is extremely tight on space, and unable to accommodate the two staff physicians at the same time, which is necessary due to the volume of work. There is only one examination room. All files are in paper form. With more than 1,700 in total they are not easily accessible. In addition, the office is not ADA compliant, which is necessary for some of the patients.

Central Records has been at full capacity since 2005, therefore records are stored in unsuitable alternative areas such as the cafeteria (which is not secure storage space). This situation has required precincts to store up to 15 years of records at their location. Off-site storage makes it difficult to retrieve files as needed. The County's storage facility is also at maximum capacity. Central Records needs to have access to files to support daily requests from constituents. One of the most serious issues is that the room, located underneath the IAB, is not designed for the excessive weight of the paper files and as a result, the ceiling below is being damaged.

There are various other issues and concerns with the current facility. The Electronic Investigations Unit needs additional storage space, and the Computer Crimes Unit will have an inadequate electrical service availability as larger computers are needed in the future, to name a few.

**Task Force:**

The Task Force was established via Resolution 850-2019 to study the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new Police Headquarters or to renovate the current Headquarters to meet the Department's current and future operational needs. A long-term plan would best address whether the County should continue to refurbish and invest funds in a 50-plus-year-old building, which the Police Department has outgrown, and was not originally designed to be a Police Headquarters. It was designed to house the County's Department of Social Services. In the last three years, approximately \$20 million in Capital Expenditures was spent or planned on temporary fixes (which Suffolk County had to bond for). A determination should be made as to whether the County should continue to refurbish an aging building or build a new facility to be a Police Headquarters with 21<sup>st</sup> Century technology, security, proper operational space and is in a centralized location within the Police District.

The ten member Task Force took an extensive tour of the Police Headquarters and the Property Section building and also met four additional times during the past two years. After many discussions on how to best address the short and long-term needs of the Police Headquarters' building, the Department of Public Works (DPW) proposed the following four options to either construct a new building or to renovate the existing building.

**Option 1:** Renovating the existing 133,300 sq. ft. Police Department Headquarters in Yaphank. This would include refurbishing of the vacated Identification (ID) Section space. This option assumes that a new ID Section building will be approved and move forward on the present campus in Yaphank.(\$90 million)

**Option 2:** Renovating the existing 133,300 sq. ft. Police Department Headquarters including a full renovation of existing ID Section space and the addition of a Vehicle Processing Bay, which presently does not exist.(\$85 million)

**Option 3:** A new 254,600 sq. ft. Police Department Headquarters on a site to be determined which would include existing Police Department areas, Canine and ID Section.(\$197 million)

**Option 4:** A new 238,000 sq. ft. Police Department Headquarters on a site to be determined which would include existing Police Department areas, however Canine and ID Section would be located at a separate location on the Yaphank campus.(\$202 million)

The DPW cost estimate for each option is a general conceptual estimate based upon square footage. The cost of the four options proposed range from more than \$85 million to approximately \$202 million. Within the four options proposed by DPW, planned and budgeted projects for renovating bathrooms and lobby are underway.

Currently, there are several capital projects with an estimated cost of \$22.2 million planned during the next several years that should be considered along with the decision to either renovate Headquarters or construct a new facility. The projects include: Renovation of the Restrooms and Lobby (\$750,000); Precinct and Lobby upgrades (\$3.44 million, with a significant portion allocated for precinct buildings); Construction of a new Police Fingerprint Accredited Lab for the ID Section (\$9.4 million); building extension to the current Property Bureau Building (\$6.4 million); Construction of a new Canine Headquarters and Student Training facility with Kennel (\$2.2 million). These projects planned and/or completed over the past five years were not necessarily adopted or appropriated by the County Legislature.

The possibility of relocating a new Police Headquarters Building to the Suffolk Community College Campus in Brentwood which is owned by the County and is more centrally located to the population within the Police District was discussed. The Police Academy is located on the Brentwood Campus. However, there are zoning and New York State Deeds, Covenants and Restrictions issues that would need to be addressed and approved in order to relocate to this location.

The Task Force discussed not only the short-term vital renovations that need to be done to the existing building, but considered the shortfalls of the facility that can hinder proper daily work routines and protocol. Shortfalls within the facility include additional asbestos abatement, space inadequacies, Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance issues and one of the most urgent issues, proper record storage.

The committee members discussed the advantages and disadvantages of each option and unanimously agreed that either Option 1 or 4 would be best, with Option 4 being ideal and Option 1 being the fallback, depending on available revenue.

The Police Department was very committed to the fact that the ID Lab and Canine Section should be separate facilities and that the Property Bureau expansion should move forward. Moving the Identification Section out of the Headquarters building frees up sufficient space to allow the building to be systematically renovated. After much discussion, it was determined that the only way to proceed and to have the most realistic costs would be for a scoping document to be produced. Such a document, part of the schematic design phase, defines the wants and needs in order to best plan for the future. It was stated to cost approximately \$150,000. This was agreed to be necessary in order for the administration to have a more realistic estimated cost in order to make their final decision.

**Conclusion:**

In conclusion, we the Task Force, unanimously agree that a scoping document should be produced on Option 1 & 4. Option 1 & 4 were the best choices and further research would be needed to determine precise costs. This process would be between the Legislature and the Executive's Office as a Request for Proposal would be needed.

In addition to the proposed options, several projects were started and are to be completed. These include: a new Police Identification Section Building; a new K-9 Facility; renovation and addition to Police Property Bureau; upgrades to Police Headquarters lobby and bathroom renovations.

In addition, we are pursuing New York State to release the Covenants and Restrictions on the Deed for Suffolk County Community College campus and whether it would be feasible to relocate Police Headquarters to the Brentwood campus where the Police Academy is located.



## POLICE HQ TASK FORCE COMMITTEE

POLICE TASK FORCE COMMITTEE BUDGET COMPARISON PREPARED BY SCDPW					
	SPACE AND/ OR BUILDING	OPTION 1	OPTION 2	OPTION 3	OPTION 4
	EXISTING SCPD HQ BUILDING SIZE	133,300	133,300	N/A	N/A
SEE NOTE	NEW PDHQ BUILDING- K9 AND ID	N/A	N/A	254,600	N/A
SEE NOTE	NEW PDHQ - K9 AND ID SEPARATE	N/A	N/A	N/A	238,000
	VEHICLE BAY ADDITION TO EXIST.	N/A	2,000	N/A	N/A
	PROPOSED ID SECTION BLDG (SF)	14,000	N/A	INCLUDED	14,000
	NEW CANINE TRAINING FACILITY (SF)	2,600	2,600	INCLUDED	2,600
	ADDITION TO POLICE PROP. BUREAU	16,300	16,300	16,300	16,300
	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>166,200</b>	<b>154,200</b>	<b>270,900</b>	<b>270,900</b>
LINE #	TASK	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
1	PHASING- 4 PHASES	2,000,000.00	3,000,000.00	0.00	0.00
2	SITWORK AND DEMOLITION	1,500,000.00	1,500,000.00	9,000,000.00	11,280,000.00
3	CONCRETE	750,000.00	750,000.00	3,500,000.00	3,290,000.00
4	MASONRY	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	4,000,000.00	3,760,000.00
5	METALS	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	9,600,000.00	9,024,000.00
6	WOOD & PLASTICS	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	4,800,000.00	4,512,000.00
7	THERMAL & MOISTURE	4,000,000.00	4,000,000.00	8,000,000.00	7,520,000.00
8	WINDOWS & DOORS	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	4,800,000.00	4,512,000.00
9	FINISHES	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	8,000,000.00	7,520,000.00
10	SPECIALTIES	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	3,200,000.00	3,008,000.00
11	EQUIPMENT	2,000,000.00	2,500,000.00	6,400,000.00	6,016,000.00
12	FURNISHINGS	3,000,000.00	3,000,000.00	5,600,000.00	5,264,000.00
13	SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	CONVEYING SYSTEMS	400,000.00	400,000.00	500,000.00	470,000.00
15	MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	27,200,000.00	25,568,000.00
16	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	21,600,000.00	20,304,000.00
17	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>41,650,000.00</b>	<b>43,150,000.00</b>	<b>116,200,000.00</b>	<b>112,048,000.00</b>
18	GENERAL CONDITIONS (10%)	4,165,000.00	4,315,000.00	11,620,000.00	11,204,800.00
19	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>45,815,000.00</b>	<b>47,465,000.00</b>	<b>127,820,000.00</b>	<b>123,252,800.00</b>
20	O.H.& PROFIT (10%)	4,581,500.00	4,746,500.00	12,782,000.00	12,325,280.00
21	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>50,396,500.00</b>	<b>52,211,500.00</b>	<b>140,602,000.00</b>	<b>135,578,080.00</b>
22	DESIGN CONTINGENCY (5%)	2,519,825.00	2,610,575.00	7,030,100.00	6,778,904.00
23	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>52,916,325.00</b>	<b>54,822,075.00</b>	<b>147,632,100.00</b>	<b>142,356,984.00</b>
24	INSURANCE AND BONDS (2%)	1,058,326.50	1,096,441.50	2,952,642.00	2,847,139.68
25	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>53,974,651.50</b>	<b>55,918,516.50</b>	<b>150,584,742.00</b>	<b>145,204,123.68</b>
26	ESCALATION (4 YRS @ 5%)	10,794,930.30	11,183,703.30	30,116,948.40	29,040,824.74
27	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>64,769,581.80</b>	<b>67,102,219.80</b>	<b>180,701,690.40</b>	<b>174,244,948.42</b>
ADDITIONAL ITEMS					
28	NEW POLICE ID SECTION BUILDING	9,000,000.00	N/A	N/A	9,000,000.00
29	NEW K9 FACILITY	1,500,000.00	1,500,000.00	N/A	1,500,000.00

30	RENO. AND ADDITION TO PPB	5,500,000.00	5,500,000.00	5,500,000.00	5,500,000.00
31	EXISTING ID SECTION RENOVATION AND VEHICLE BAY ADDITION CONSTRUCTION COST	N/A	3,730,265.00	N/A	N/A
32	<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>16,000,000.00</b>	<b>10,730,265.00</b>	<b>5,500,000.00</b>	<b>16,000,000.00</b>
33	<b>TOTAL COST: ROW 27 +32</b>	<b>80,769,581.80</b>	<b>77,832,484.80</b>	<b>186,201,690.40</b>	<b>190,244,948.42</b>
34	<b>COST PER SF</b>	<b>605.92</b>	<b>583.89</b>	<b>687.34</b>	<b>702.27</b>
<b>PLANNING COSTS</b>					
35	DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES (10%). TYPICAL FOR RENOVATIONS	8,076,958.18	6,710,221.98	N/A	N/A
36	DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES (6%). TYPICAL	N/A	N/A	10,842,101.42	10,454,696.90
37	DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES FOR ID SECTION NEW BUILDING CP3523	647,500.00	N/A	N/A	647,500.00
38	DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES FOR K9 FACILITY	150,000.00	150,000.00	N/A	150,000.00
39	DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES FOR PPB ADDITION	500,000.00	500,000.00	500,000.00	500,000.00
40	GEOTECHNICAL	8,500.00	15,000.00	54,210.51	52,273.48
41	SURVEYING	10,500.00	18,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
42	ENVIRONMENTAL	40,000.00	35,000.00	75,000.00	75,000.00
43	<b>TOTAL PLANNING COSTS-</b>	<b>9,433,458.18</b>	<b>7,428,221.98</b>	<b>11,496,311.93</b>	<b>11,904,470.39</b>
44	<b>TOTAL PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION COST</b>	<b>90,203,039.98</b>	<b>85,260,706.78</b>	<b>197,698,002.33</b>	<b>202,149,418.81</b>
<b>NOTES</b>					
1	New building consists of new code compliant construction, hardened facilities and additional space that existing HQ did not have. These spaces include but are not limited to: ID Section, Canine Training Facility, Underground parking garage, Expanded Police Records Storage, Special Victims Unit, Domestic Violence Unit, Expanded Internal Affairs Division, Media and Presentation Space/ Conference and				
2	Although OPTION 3 assumes a larger stand-alone PDHQ building, it is less costly than OPTION 4. This is due to the economy of scale to build one large building on the same site rather than constructing ID Section and K9 buildings at remote locations as assumed in OPTION 4.				

Intro. Res. No. 1741-2019 Laid on Table 7/16/2019

Introduced by Legislators Flotteron, McCaffrey, Cilmi, Anker and Hahn

**RESOLUTION NO. 850 -2019, ESTABLISHING A TASK FORCE  
TO EVALUATE THE FEASIBILITY OF A NEW POLICE  
HEADQUARTERS**

**WHEREAS**, the Headquarters of the Suffolk County Police Department is currently located in Yaphank, NY; and

**WHEREAS**, the Suffolk County Police Department Headquarters facility is an older building that is not as technologically advanced as police headquarters in other counties; and

**WHEREAS**, years of neglect have left the current police headquarters in a state of disrepair subjecting employees to a subpar working environment; and

**WHEREAS**, the current police headquarters was never designed to be an actual headquarters; and

**WHEREAS**, the building currently does not meet the varied needs of the Suffolk County Police Department; and

**WHEREAS**, the County should internally examine the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current building to ensure that the County Police Department has a functional building that can meet its needs now and in the future; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that a Police Headquarters Task Force ("Task Force") is hereby established to study the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current building that headquarters is located in to meet current and future operational needs; and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall consist of the following ten (10) members:

- 1) the Majority Caucus Leader, or his or her designee;
- 2) the Minority Caucus Leader, or his or her designee;
- 3) the Commissioner of the Police Department, or his or her designee;
- 4) the Chief of the Police Department, or his or her designee;
- 5) the Director of the Office of Budget Review, or his or her designee;
- 6) the Director of the Budget Office, or his or her designee;
- 7) the Commissioner of the Information Technology Department, or his or her designee;
- 8) the Commissioner of the Department of Public Works, or his or her designee;
- 9) the Director of the Division of Real Estate, or his or her designee; and
- 10) the Chairperson of the Space Management Committee, or his or her designee;

and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall hold its first meeting no later than thirty (30) days after oaths of office of all members have been filed, which meeting shall be convened for the purpose of organization and the appointment of a chairperson, a vice chairperson, and a secretary; and be it further

**4th RESOLVED**, that the members of the Task Force shall serve without compensation and shall serve at the pleasure of their respective appointing authorities; and be it further

**5th RESOLVED**, that the Task force shall hold regular meetings, keep a record of all of its proceedings. And determine the rules of its own proceedings, with special meetings to be called by the Chairperson upon his or her own initiative or upon receipt of a written request therefore signed by at least three (3) members of the Task Force. Written notice of the time and place of such special meetings shall be given by the secretary to each member at least four (4) days before the date fixed by the notice for such special meetings; and be it further

**6th RESOLVED**, that six (6) members of the Task Force shall constitute a quorum to transact business of the Task Force at both regular and special meetings; and be it further

**7th RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall engage in a comprehensive study and analysis of the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current police headquarters. This study shall include an examination of:

- 1) the costs and benefits of a centrally located police headquarters;
- 2) the feasibility of renovating and retrofitting the existing facility;
- 3) the feasibility of constructing a new facility;
- 4) the current and expected future general needs of the Police Department including, but not limited to: a crime lab, a fingerprint lab, a K-9 facility, and a command center;
- 5) the current and expected future technology and communication needs for the Police Department, including, but not limited to: a 911 call center, radio controls, and an information technology department; and

- 6) potential grant opportunities;3

and be it further

**8th RESOLVED**, that this Task Force shall submit a written report of its findings and determinations, together with its recommendations to each member of the County Legislature, the Clerk of the Legislature, and the County Executive within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution for review, consideration, and appropriate action, if necessary, by the entire County Legislature; and be it further

**9th RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall expire, and the terms of Office of its members terminate upon the completion and submission of their report, at which time the Task Force shall deposit all records of its proceedings with the Clerk of the Legislature; and be it further

**10th RESOLVED**, that this study shall not be performed by an outside consultant or consulting firm unless explicit approval and authorization for such consultant or consulting firms granted pursuant to a duly enacted resolution of the County Legislature; and be it further

**11th RESOLVED**, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(26) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as routine or continuing administration and management not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED: September 4, 2019

EFFECTIVE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-15(F) OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER,  
RETURNED BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE UNSIGNED SEPTEMBER 23, 2019

Intro. Res. No. 1257-2020 Laid on Table 3/3/2020  
Introduced by Legislator Flotteron

**RESOLUTION NO. 244 -2020, AMENDING THE REPORTING  
DEADLINE FOR THE POLICE HEADQUARTERS TASK FORCE**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019 authorized the County to create a Police Headquarters Task Force (“Task Force”) to study the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current building to ensure that the County Police Department has a functional building that can meet its needs now and in the future; and

**WHEREAS**, the Task Force requires more time to adequately and thoroughly complete the feasibility study than was originally anticipated; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019 should be amended to extend the date that the report is due; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that the 3rd RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019 is hereby amended as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**3rd RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall hold its first meeting no later than thirty (30) days after oaths of office of all members have been filed, which meeting shall be convened for the purpose of organization and the appointment of a chairperson[,] and a vice chairperson[,] and a secretary]; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that the 8th RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019 is hereby amended as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**8th RESOLVED**, that this Task Force shall submit a written report of its findings and determinations, together with its recommendations to each member of the County Legislature, the Clerk of the Legislature, and the County Executive within [180] 270 days of the effective date of this resolution for review, consideration, and appropriate action, if necessary, by the entire County Legislature; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(26) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as routine or continuing administration and management not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of existing language

     Underlining denotes addition of new language

DATED: March 17, 2020

APPROVED BY:

/s/ Dennis M. Cohen  
Chief Deputy County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: April 1, 2020

Intro. Res. No. 1257-2020 Laid on Table 3/3/2020  
Introduced by Legislator Flotteron

**RESOLUTION NO. 244 -2020, AMENDING THE REPORTING  
DEADLINE FOR THE POLICE HEADQUARTERS TASK FORCE**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019 authorized the County to create a Police Headquarters Task Force (“Task Force”) to study the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current building to ensure that the County Police Department has a functional building that can meet its needs now and in the future; and

**WHEREAS**, the Task Force requires more time to adequately and thoroughly complete the feasibility study than was originally anticipated; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019 should be amended to extend the date that the report is due; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that the 3rd RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019 is hereby amended as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**3rd RESOLVED**, that the Task Force shall hold its first meeting no later than thirty (30) days after oaths of office of all members have been filed, which meeting shall be convened for the purpose of organization and the appointment of a chairperson[,] and a vice chairperson[,] and a secretary]; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that the 8th RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019 is hereby amended as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**8th RESOLVED**, that this Task Force shall submit a written report of its findings and determinations, together with its recommendations to each member of the County Legislature, the Clerk of the Legislature, and the County Executive within [180] 270 days of the effective date of this resolution for review, consideration, and appropriate action, if necessary, by the entire County Legislature; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(26) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as routine or continuing administration and management not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of existing language

Underlining denotes addition of new language

DATED: March 17, 2020

APPROVED BY:

/s/ Dennis M. Cohen  
Chief Deputy County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: April 1, 2020

Intro. Res. No.1520-2020 Laid on Table 6/9/2020  
Introduced by Legislator Flotteron

**RESOLUTION NO. 488-2020, EXTENDING THE REPORTING  
DEADLINE FOR THE POLICE HEADQUARTERS TASK FORCE**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019 authorized the County to create a Police Headquarters Task Force ("Task Force") to study the feasibility of either purchasing or constructing a new police headquarters or fully renovating the current building to ensure that the County Police Department has a functional building that can meet its needs now and in the future; and

**WHEREAS**, due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, the Task Force will not be able to meet and obtain the information needed to complete their work and submit a written report to the Legislature; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019, as amended by Resolution No. 244-2020 should be amended to extend the date that the report is due; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that the 8th RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019, as previously amended by Resolution No. 244-2020, is hereby amended as follows:

**8th RESOLVED**, that this Task Force shall submit a written report of its findings and determinations, together with its recommendations to each member of the County Legislature, the Clerk of the Legislature, and the County Executive within [270 days] 13 months of the effective date of this resolution for review, consideration, and appropriate action, if necessary, by the entire County Legislature; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that all other terms and conditions of Resolution No. 850-2019, as amended by Resolution No. 244-2020 shall remain in full force and effect; and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(26) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as routine or continuing administration and management not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

**[ ]** Brackets denote deletion of language

     Underlining denotes addition of new language2

DATED: June 23, 2020

APPROVED BY:

/s/ Steven Bellone

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: June 30, 2020

Intro. Res. No. 1884-2020 Laid on Table 11/16/2020  
Introduced by Legislator Flotteron

**RESOLUTION NO. 999 -2020, FURTHER EXTENDING THE  
REPORTING DEADLINE FOR THE POLICE HEADQUARTERS  
TASK FORCE**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution 850-2019 authorized the creation of a Police Headquarters Task Force ("Task Force"); and

**WHEREAS**, as a result of COVID-19, the Task Force requires more time to adequately and thoroughly complete the feasibility study than was originally anticipated; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 850-2019, as amended by Resolution No. 244-2020 and Resolution No. 488-2020 should be amended to extend the date that the report is due; now, therefore be it

**1st RESOLVED**, that the 8th RESOLVED clause of Resolution No. 850-2019, as previously amended by Resolution No. 244-2020 and Resolution No. 488-2020, is hereby amended as follows:

**8th RESOLVED**, that this Task Force shall submit a written report of its findings and determinations, together with its recommendations to each member of the County Legislature, the Clerk of the Legislature, and the County Executive within ~~[13 months]~~ 20 months of the effective date of this resolution for review, consideration, and appropriate action, if necessary, by the entire County Legislature; and be it further

\* \* \* \* \*

and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that all other terms and conditions of Resolution No. 850-2019, as amended by Resolution No. 244-2020 and Resolution No. 488-2020 shall remain in full force and effect; and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(26) and (33) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW insofar as these actions constitute routine or continuing administration and management not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of existing language.

     Underlining denotes addition of new language.2

DATED: December 15, 2020

APPROVED BY:

/s/ Steven Bellone

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: December 21, 2020